Nerve-Sparing Radical Hysterectomy - An Old Japanese Concept
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ABSTRACT

Conventional radical hysterectomy, the main part of the standard surgical treatment in cervical cancer, causes damage to the pelvic autonomic nerves, thus leading to urinary, anorectal and sexual dysfunctions. Many researches have focused on surgical approaches that protect the pelvic nerves in order to decrease postoperative related morbidity. Nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy is a safe technique that improves the quality of life of patients who undergo cervical cancer surgery without compromising oncologic outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the second most common cause of cancer mortality in women worldwide (1).

Conventional surgical management of cervical cancer (CC) is radical hysterectomy (RH) with pelvic lymphadenectomy (2).

Conventional surgery is associated with postoperative bladder dysfunctions, colorectal motility disorders and sexual dysfunctions, a consequence of accidental damage to the pelvic autonomic nerves during surgery. Improving surgical treatment as well as postoperative quality of life is increasingly important challenges given that more than 54% of women diagnosed with CC are younger than 50 years (3). Thus, nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy (NSRH) has emerged in the last 30 years for reducing surgery-related dysfunctions without compromising oncologic outcomes.

Classification of radical hysterectomies

Classification of radical hysterectomies is very important for interpretation of outcomes and for establishing a standard treatment. The pioneers of the principles of radicality for the cervical cancer, combining the concept of the organ extended surgery and the concept of the lymphatic territory surgery, are the Viennese surgeon Ernst Wertheim and the Romanian surgeon Thoma.
Ionescu, who have presented their view in 1902, at the International Congress of Surgery and Gynecology in Rome.

The concept of nerve sparing is an old Japanese concept. In 1921, H. Okabayashi published a surgical technique, developed with his professor, S. Takayama, which had as particularity, the preservation of the nerve plexus (4).

In 1961, Kobayashi, at Tokyo University, sustained the concept of the nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy by demonstrating that the cardinal ligament is composed of two parts: a vascular part, which is the ventral part, containing the deep uterine vein, and a neural part. He also demonstrated that pelvic splanchnic nerves runs along the most dorsal part of the cardinal ligament (3).

In 1974, Piver-Rutledge-Smith (5) divided radical hysterectomies into 5 classes, a classification respected by many surgeons. Nevertheless, over time, this classification became outdated and has several disadvantages: doesn’t take into account the “Terminologia Anatomica”, ignores the nerve-sparing approach, mixes the lateral, dorsal and vaginal extension of the resections, has no clear limits between the classes, class I is not radical.

In the recent years, the concept of NSRH, i.e. the systematic preservation of the pelvic nerve system, including the hypogastric nerves, the pelvic splanchnic nerves, the pelvic plexus and nerves, splanchnic nerves, and visceral branches. The hypogastric nerves join the pelvic nerve plexus from the superior ventral side. The pelvic splanchnic nerves, which originated from the ventral rami S2 to S4, join from the lateral dorsal side (7).

There is the sympathetic system with the superior hypogastric plexus and hypogastric nerves and the parasympathetic system with the pelvic splanchnic nerves. They anastomose at the level of the inferior hypogastric plexus and after that there are visceral nerve branches for the bladder, rectum and vagina. Regarding their function on the bladder and sexual functions, the sympathetic system favour compliance and storage by stimulating the smooth urethral sphincter and inhibiting the detrusor muscle and the parasympathetic system favour voiding by stimulating detrusor while relaxing the smooth urethral sphincter and also favour vaginal lubrication and genital swelling.

Underneath the promontory, the superior hypogastric plexus (SHP) divides into the hypogastric nerves, which run cranio-caudally and 2 cm mediodorsally to the ureters, in the posterior and lateral layer of the uterosacral ligaments (USL). The pelvic splanchnic nerves are mainly parasympathetic and are involved with detrusor contractility, rectal function, and vaginal lubrication. They form the inferior hypogastric plexus (IHP) along with the hypogastric nerves and some sacral splanchnic nerves. The IHP is a laminar structure with a triangular shape with a sagittal direction in the pararectal fossa and is constituted by both sympathetic and parasympathetic fibers. The plexus stretches from an area anterolateral to the rectum to the lateral vaginal...
The hypogastric nerves induce urinary incontinence and sparing procedure. Therefore, the denudation of pelvic splanchnic nerves is necessary (14,15).

More radicality than with the conventional nerve-sparing procedure, the bladder atonia, disorders of bladder sensitivity, and decrease blood flow to vagina and lubrication; injuries to the inferior hypogastric plexus and visceral branches generate various dysfunctions according to the level of injury. Consequences seem to be more limited if unilateral injury, even if there are important variation in hypogastric nerves in about 25% of women (7).

### Nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy - technical aspects

Radical hysterectomy, that is, complete removal of the uterus and parametrium, is a basic surgical procedure for early uterine cervical cancer, but also for advanced stage. A concept of the parametrium that should be removed or how deeply and widely the parametrium should be dissected has varied significantly with the time. Bladder dysfunction after RH has been well documented, damage to the pelvic nerve plexus (inferior hypogastric plexus) and its vesical branches - the pathways for neurogenic bladder control, being the main cause of dysfunction.

To preserve the bladder function after radical hysterectomy several authors have described procedures for NSRH. The hypogastric nerves constitute the main anatomical landmark of NSRH because they form the upper limit of the pelvic nerve plexus and the vesical branches (14,15).

For the surgical procedure, surgeons usually provide two spaces by blunt dissection in the small pelvis, that is, the pararectal and paravesical spaces. Thereby, the cardinal ligament of the uterus, a specific part of the parametrium, is developed between these two spaces. Many surgeons believed that in combination with complete removal of the uterus, entire parts of the cardinal ligament and sacro-uterine ligament should be removed, including their deep extension to the pelvic floor. The Tokyo method, developed originally by Ogino (1950) and revised by Kobayashi (1961) and Sakamoto and Takizawa (1988) demonstrated that the cardinal ligament is divided into two parts, the superficial or ventral “vascular part” as a target for ligation and removal and the deep or dorsal “nerve part” that should be preserved because it is likely to contain the pelvic splanchnic nerves. Many Western and Asian gynecologists seem to accept the Tokyo method as a standard method for nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy (2,3,7,16).

A simplified technique of nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy was described by Li. B et al (17) in 2011, the key point of the procedure being to integrally preserve the nerve plane (meso-ureter and its extension) without meticulous dissection of the detailed nerve structure:
Division of the uterosacral ligament

Along with the ureter, the meso-ureter (the proximal part of the nerve plane), containing the hypogastric nerve, is lateralized from the posterior layer of the broad ligament. Okabayashi’s space between the meso-ureter and the uterosacral ligament is developed by blunt dissection. The prerectal space is developed by transversely incising the peritoneum of the pouch of Douglas. The uterosacral ligament is divided near its root, and the proximal part of the nerve plane is preserved.

Division of the cardinal ligament

The paravesical space and pararectal space are developed by blunt dissection. After transecting the uterine artery and the superficial uterine vein from its origin, lymphatic fatty tissue around the deep uterine vein is removed, then the pelvic splanchnic nerve branches underneath the deep uterine vein are kept intact.

Transection of the uterine branch of the inferior hypogastric plexus

The ureter is completely unroofed and freed from its tunnel. A loose avascular area (the fourth space) between the posterior leaf of the vesicouterine ligament and the paracolpium is developed by carefully blunt dissection. Along with the parametrial tissue, the uterine branch is transected between the fourth space and Okabayashi’s space. The distal part of the nerve plane (extension of the meso-ureter), containing the bladder branches, is lateralized.

Division of the posterior leaf of the vesicouterine ligament

Between the vesicouterine pouch and the fourth space, the posterior leaf of the vesicouterine ligament is transected before the uterus is removed. The nerve plane right underneath the ureter is integrally preserved without any unnecessary dissection (18,19).

To preserve the bladder innervations, two main surgical rules must be respected: to identify the hypogastric nerve before entering the cardinal ligament and to maintain a perfect hemostasis throughout all the surgical steps. The nerve thus can be maintained under direct vision, making possible its preservation.

Recently, Höckel et al. (20) developed the concept of total mesometrial resection (TMMR) for the treatment of cervical cancer. This concept is based on the fact that local tumor spread might be restricted within the Müllerian morphogenetic unit from which the tumor originates. Therefore, en-bloc resection of the Müllerian morphogenetic unit, including the uterus, proximal vagina and mesometrium, might lead to more radical resection and better local control even without the need for adjuvant radiotherapy. The authors also proposed that the main pelvic autonomic nerves, which are in a different tissue unit, should be separated from the Müllerian morphogenetic unit and integrally preserved. The pelvic nerve plane seemed to be well preserved by this procedure. However, the anatomy and technique of TMMR are complicated.

As for the length of the vaginal resection, Benedetti-Panici et al (21) have suggested that the incidence of lower urinary tract dysfunction is related to the extent of resection of the rectovaginal ligaments and vaginal tissue. In the NSRH, the level of colpectomy has been restricted to 2 cm to preserve the most distal part of the vesicovaginal branch of the inferior hypogastric plexus that courses medially along the lateral vaginal wall to the bladder. In contrast, satisfactory results were obtained by Sakuragi et al (12) even with a length of the resected vagina ranging from 2 to 4.5 cm.

Obesity can induce technical difficulty in the performance of the nerve-sparing technique because the operating field is deep, visibility is poor and the presence of considerable amount of fatty tissue surrounding the nerve structures can obscure the delicate nerve tissues (16).

Radicality of NSRH

Concerning the oncological efficacy, nonrandomized studies on the survival of patients operated on with the nerve-sparing technique reported relapse and survival rates similar to the classical radical hysterectomy (22,23). Sakuragi et al (5) reported cumulative DFS rates of 95.5% for NSRH and 100% for RH at 24 months, while van den Tillaart et al (23) observed similar 5-year OS and local recurrence rates within 24 months for NSRH and RH.

The finding that NSRH and RH are associated with similar survival may reflect the fact that they are associated with a similar extent of resection, parametrial width and vaginal cuff length. This is contrary to the belief among some clinicians that NSRH involves less extensive resection and therefore can lead to lower survival and higher risk of recurrence. Putambeker et al (2) reported 5-year DFS rates of 92% for IB1 CC and 78% for IB2 CC, with corresponding 5-year OS rates of 96% and 83%. The authors concluded that NSRH does not compromise surgical radicality.
Urinary tract dysfunction after radical hysterectomy

Conventional radical surgery is known to cause urinary dysfunctions, such as stress incontinence, sensory loss and bladder voiding dysfunction as hypotonic and hypertonic detrusor function in 12% to 85%. These symptoms may affect the quality of life of patients surviving cervical cancer (24).

Many reports assess bladder function after NSRH by measuring the residual volume of urine after voiding. Benedetti-Panici et al (21) described that only 15% of patients who underwent RH had a residual volume more than 30% (considered abnormal) of their bladder capacity. However, 76% of patients developed bladder dysfunction during the 12 months after surgery. Therefore, measuring the residual volume of urine after voiding might not be sufficient to evaluate bladder function. Urodynamic evaluation is necessary to ascertain bladder dysfunction after NSRH (19,21).

Urinary bladder dysfunctions represent the most frequent type of morbidity after radical hysterectomy (RH) and the impairment of spontaneous voiding represents the most prominent symptom in the early postoperative period. The mean time to spontaneous recovery differs significantly in published studies from 9 to 31 days. It is hypothesized that peri-vesical edema, autonomic denervation, and/or loss of urinary bladder support have a role in the etiology of the impairment, but the pathophysiology remains incompletely understood.

The study of Cibula D. et al (25) retrospectively analyzed data from 85 patients (67 radical hysterectomy, 6 radical parametrectomy and 12 radical trachelectomy), of which 35 underwent nerve-sparing modification, 19 underwent type C radicality of procedure and 31 type D radicality of procedure. Multivariate analysis revealed three significant parameters: procedure radicality, type of procedure (radical hysterectomy vs radical trachelectomy), and a negative correlation with body mass index. Radicality of parametrectomy was the most significant parameter influencing the interval to spontaneous voiding recovery; significant differences were observed between nerve-sparing and type D procedures. An interesting finding was the better functional outcomes after radical trachelectomy, which is likely caused by the preservation of supportive structures after the fertility-sparing procedure. Other parameters, including tumor volume, operation time, number of harvested lymph nodes, age, surgeon, method of bladder drainage or adjuvant radiotherapy, were not significant.

A significantly shorter time to spontaneous voiding (defined as urine residuum less than 30 mL) was described by Possover et al (26) as early as in 2000 in 38 patients after NSRH in comparison with 28 patients who had undergone RH type 3, 11 versus 21 days. In their study, the principle of nerve-sparing modification focused only on the preservation of splanchnic nerves in the caudal part of lateral parametria, whereas nowadays, it is the preservation of hypogastric plexus that is considered essential.

Kanao H et al (19) conducted a study on 53 patients to investigate the detailed anatomy of the pelvic nerve plexus and its vesical branches and to study the correlation between preserved pelvic nerve networks and bladder function using urodynamic studies performed before surgery and at 1,3,6 and 12 months after surgery. The evaluation of sensory and motor function of the bladder in this study required two parameters for uro-dynamic analysis: first desire to void (FDV) used to evaluate sensory function and PdetQmax, the detrusor contraction pressure at maximum flow, used to evaluate motor function. For the nerve sparing group, the recovery of sensory and motor functions was significantly higher within 12 months after surgery, and at 6 months and 12 months statistically higher than that at 1 month after surgery. So, the preserved nerve function can be improved within 12 months after surgery. The authors suggested that the distributions of sensory nerves and motor nerves differ, the sensory nerves being distributed predominantly in the lower (dorsal) half of the pelvic nerve networks and the motor nerves being distributed predominantly in the upper (ventral) half of the pelvic nerve networks.

The comparative urodynamic study carried out by F.Maneschi et al (27) on 15 patients showed a reduced detrusor activity observed during the filling phase, as expressed by the significant increase of the maximum cystomanometric capacity, and during the voiding phase, as expressed by the significant increase of flow time. The time to achieve spontaneous voiding with postvoid residual urine less than 50 mL was markedly reduced in nerve-sparing patients (4 days) than in patients treated with conventional radical hysterectomy (8 days), confirming that nerve sparing improves bladder function recovery immediately after surgery.

As postvoid residual urine volume is generally considered to be a valid index of bladder function after radical hysterectomy, patients are being encouraged to void with abdominal straining to increase voiding volume and decrease postvoid residual urine volume. Such guidance may cause long-term deterioration of bladder function, which has been reported to persist for
10 years or more after RH. Immediately after RH has been performed, not only voiding function but also storage function deteriorates. Storage function is represented by bladder compliance, a decrease in which results in continuous high pressure inside the bladder, which may in turn cause ischemia and injury to the detrusor muscles. Progressive hydronephrosis may follow deterioration of detrusor muscle function, self-catheterization being needed (28).

Whether radiotherapy (RT) to the whole pelvis after RH causes further deterioration of bladder function is still controversial. Ralph et al. (29) reported an adverse effect of postoperative RT on lower urinary function. Whereas bladder neck and urethral functions were similar in all groups, bladder compliance was significantly reduced after RH plus RT compared to that after RH alone (28).

The study of Oda et al. (28) including type 4 RH and urodynamic studies showed that a non-nerve-sparing procedure, adjuvant RT and voiding with abdominal pressure in the acute/subacute postoperative period were risk factors for prolonged deterioration of bladder compliance. This study revealed another important point: bladder function in the acute phase of the post-RH period was greatly decreased regardless of whether a nerve-sparing procedure was performed or not. Thus, the prognosis of bladder function in the recovery phase can take two courses: recovery to preoperative quality or a permanent decrease in quality. To enhance recovery of bladder function, the patients are instructed to void without abdominal pressure. Thus, voiding with abdominal strain and adjuvant RT will prevent improvement in the recovery of bladder compliance in patients who have undergone RH.

The meta-analyses of Kim et al. (24) is meaningful in supporting the efficacy of autonomic nerve preservation by NSRS on urinary functions.

Anorectal dysfunction after radical hysterectomy

Anorectal dysfunctions have been reported in 5% to 10% of patients after CRS. Sympathetic nerves inhibit the expulsion of feces and stimulate the internal sphincter of the anus, whereas parasympathetic nerves show opposite effects (16,30). In 1991, Barnes W. et al. (30) suggested the hypothesis that injury to the pelvic autonomic nerves by CRS disrupts the spinal reflex, which causes internal sphincter dysregulation and decreased rectal sensation.

Autonomic nerve damage during radical hysterectomy is thought to play a crucial role in long-term anorectal dysfunctions such as diarrhea, constipation, and fecal incontinence and several studies reported recently that NSRH confers a better clinical outcome in terms of anorectal dysfunctions. Cibula et al. (25) assessed anorectal function six months after surgery with questionnaires by comparing patients who had undergone CRH with those who had undergone NSRH. The authors found that the morbidity of fecal incontinence was significantly lower in the NSRH group. In the study of Wang W. et al. (18), with the median follow-up time of 31.9 months, both constipation and diarrhea were improved by NPSRH. An important study, the meta-analysis of Kim et al. (24) supports the hypothesis and suggests that NSRS may reduce the incidence of functional anorectal disorders, such as constipation.

However, long-term anorectal dysfunctions are frequently influenced by various factors including perioperative adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Thus, the effect of NPSRH on anorectal function needs to be confirmed with further studies.

Sexual dysfunctions

Considerable sexual dysfunction, including decrease in sexual interest and orgasm, and vaginal dryness are also noticed after CRS, which compromise sexual activity and cause substantial distress (32).

The hypogastric nerves innervate the sexual response of the vagina: after sexual arousal, the blood flow in the vaginal wall increases, resulting in lubrication of the vagina. Reduced lubrication, leading to dyspareunia, is the most prominent complaint of women after radical surgery for cervical cancer. The increase in vaginal blood flow during sexual arousal can be measured using vaginal photoplethysmography. In the study of Pieterse et al. (13), the increase in vaginal blood flow in reaction to erotic stimuli differed seminificantly between women after CRH compared with NSRH. The vaginal response, or increase in vaginal blood flow, of women after NSRH did not differ from the vaginal response of healthy controls, whereas the response of women after CRH was far less.

Patients undergoing open abdominal type C2 radical hysterectomy experience worse sexual function than patients undergoing type B radical hysterectomy. Ditto et al. (22), in a large series of CC patients, suggested that open abdominal type C1 radical hysterectomy does not worsen sexual function in comparison with type B radical hysterectomy. In fact, the preservation of pelvic autonomic nerves during dissection of the parametrium is one of the most relevant factors reducing the occurrence of sexual dysfunction in patients undergoing type C1 radical hysterectomy.

Both conventional laparoscopic RH and NS-laparo-
scopic RH impact negatively on patients’ sexual function. However, the NS approach impairs sexual function less, minimizing the effects of radical surgery (22,33).

In the meta-analysis conducted by H.S. Kim et al. (24) in 2015, including 2253 patients from two randomized controlled trials, seven prospective and eleven retrospective cohort studies, a comparison of urinary, anorectal and sexual dysfunction between CRS and NSRS in terms of long-term outcomes (6 or 12 months after surgery) found that in NSRH operative time was longer and intraoperative complications were less common; the length of the resected vagina was shorter (26,31). No significant differences were found in blood loss, hospital stay or postoperative complications. The length of the resected parametrium, DFS and OS were not significantly different. On the other hand, the safety of NSRS still remains controversial because of the concerns of less radicality of NSRS (34). Urinary incontinence and frequency, as well as anorectal dysfunctions were less common in NSRS. No significant differences were observed in sexual dysfunction between CRS and NSRS, which means that multiple factors, including vaginal shortness, tissue fibrosis, radiotherapy, a decrease in ovarian function and psychological factors may be more important to influence the sexual functions (18). The conclusion of the meta-analysis was that NSRS can give better quality of life preserving urinary and anorectal functions without adverse effects on clinical outcomes and sexual functions in patients with early-stage CC (24).

Similar results were obtained by Z.Liu et al. (35) evaluating the clinical efficacy and safety of laparoscopic NSRS for 120 patients with locally advanced CC and by Y.Longet al (3), in a systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating clinical efficacy and safety of nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e94116.

As for the perspectives in nerve-sparing techniques and the attempt to optimize them, data about functional anatomy, computed assisted dissection and 3D reconstruction with immunohistochemical techniques are interestingly analysed in the paper of Moszkovicz et al (36).

Recent papers also evaluate the solutions we might have after nerve injury, i.e. laparoscopic implantation of neural electrode (implant below the level of the injury) to restore the motor or autonomic function (37,38).

CONCLUSIONS

Nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy is a reliable technique in the surgical treatment of cervical cancer. Available evidence suggests that it is better than conventional radical hysterectomy for postoperative recovery of pelvic organ function and postoperative morbidity, while the two techniques involve similar extent of resection and clinical safety. The quality of life in the nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy one year after the surgery is better compared with the conventional techniques, especially in social and family life, emotional well-being, working status. However, the results are based on a relatively small number of controlled trials, most of which are non-randomized, therefore these findings should be confirmed in larger, randomized clinical trials.
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