Synchronous Liver Metastases from Colorectal Cancer: State of the Art
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ABSTRACT

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers in the world and a leading cause of death. The liver is the dominant metastatic site for patients with CRC, and approximately 50% of patients develop CRC liver metastases (CRCLM) during the course of their disease. Surgical resection, when combined with chemotherapy, can achieve 5-year overall survival (OS) rates of up to 40-60% for patients with CRCLM. Despite excellent results, recurrence-free survival rates remain low as many surviving patients eventually experience recurrence. Appropriate patient selection is the key to ensure the best perioperative and long-term oncologic outcomes. Synchronous CRCLM are detected at the same time or before the diagnosis of the primary tumor. In general these patients present with a higher tumor burden, more extensive liver disease, and a more aggressive presentation. The 5-year OS rate in patients with synchronous CRCLM is around 40%, and specific approaches for the decision-making process are mandatory according to the tumor status and resectability at both organ sites. When appropriate and technically feasible, the simultaneous surgical resection approach to synchronous CRCLM should be preferred as it is safe and effective and provides for substantial financial cost savings. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be used with precaution, since it can result in lesions “disappearing” or becoming imaging occult. Moreover, the risk of operative morbidity increases with the number of prior chemotherapy cycles. A short period of conversion chemotherapy is recommended, and multidisciplinary discussions of the treatment strategy should be scheduled every two months. An individualized and patient-tailored multidisciplinary approach is mandatory, when trying to define the best treatment of synchronous CRCLM.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers in the world and a leading cause of death (1). In 2018, CRC represented the second most common cancer in Portugal, with 10,270 cases, and the second leading cause of death cancer-related with 4,170 deaths (2).
The liver is the dominant metastatic site for patients with CRC, and approximately 50% of patients develop liver metastases (CRCLM) during the course of their disease; 15% to 25% of patients, present with synchronous CRCLM at the time of diagnosis, only a small number of patients can be suitable for upfront surgery (3-6).

Surgical resection, when combined with chemotherapy, can provide the greatest opportunity for cure and long-term survival for patients with CRCLM. The clinical outcomes for patients with metastatic CRC have significantly improved over the last decade (4,7).

Chemotherapy, including molecular targeted agents, for metastatic CRC has greatly improved recently and offers an increased chance of conversion hepatectomy for patients with initially unresectable CRCLM. Peri-operative chemotherapy can improve disease-free survival in resected CRCLM patients, and the response to chemotherapy is critical, i.e., the better the tumor responds to systemic treatment the lower the recurrence rate post-resection (7-9).

Multimodal treatment achieves 5-year overall survival (OS) rates of up to 40-60% for patients with CRCLM. Despite these excellent results, recurrence-free survival remain low and many surviving patients eventually experience disease recurrence. Despite substantial technological improvements with more modern imaging exams, accurate surgical techniques, knowledge of tumor biology and multimodal treatments, about 60% of patients will still develop recurrence during follow-up, the majority of them within the first two years (7,10,11).

Appropriate patient selection is the key to ensure the best perioperative and long-term oncologic outcomes. An individualized multidisciplinary approach is mandatory for the treatment of patients with CRCLM. The multidisciplinary team should include surgeons with experience in liver and colorectal surgery, mainly a decrease in patient anxiety of being subjected to two procedures, two-stage versus a single one, a...
decrease in the overall length of hospital stay, as well as the financial cost. No difference is observed concerning morbimortality in one-stage versus staged procedure (4,6,12,18,19,24). When appropriate and technically feasible, the simultaneous surgery approach to synchronous CRCLM should be preferred as it is safe and effective, and provides for substantial financial cost savings (24).

The treatment adopted for patients that present symptomatic CRC and resectable synchronous CRCLM should comprise the resection of the primary tumor, in case of perforated or occlusive tumors, followed by a short period chemotherapy (3 cycles), and then, surgery for CRCLM. If it becomes resectable, we prefer the reverse approach to surgery (liver first). For rectal cancer, we usually start with chemotherapy, then radiotherapy and, in the window between irradiation and rectal cancer surgery, we perform the resection of the CRCLM (4-6,8,18,19,23).

For patients with symptomatic CRC and resectable synchronous CRCLM, we prefer to adopt resection of the primary tumor for perforated or occlusive tumours, followed by chemotherapy (short period, 3 cycles) and then surgery for CRCLM. However, this is not suitable for tumors with bleeding causing anaemia, for patients treated with transfusions or for those with a good response after chemotherapy (4-6,8,18,19,23).

The resection of the primary tumor, in case of perforated or occlusive tumors, is preferred for patients with symptomatic CRC and non-resectable synchronous CRCLM. This treatment is proceeded by conversion chemotherapy and, then, surgery for CRCLM if, after revaluation the resectability is achieved. (4-6,8,18,19,23).

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, should be used with precaution, as it can result in “disappearing” lesions or tumors becoming imaging occult. Despite a complete response on imaging, many studies have shown persistent viable tumor cells at the site of the “disappearing lesions”. With a lesion based analysis, the incidence of disappearing lesions ranges from 11% to 36% of initially detected CRCLM, and half of these lesions can present persistent disease, which may have great impact on patient relapse as well as on survival (25-27).

CRCLM lesions at the greatest risk of disappearing are those <2 cm in diameter and >1 cm deep in the liver parenchyma, and can be marked with a fiducial marker (coll) before initiation of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (28,29). On other hand, serious liver-related toxicity has been described for irinotecan (steatohepatitis) and oxaliplatin (sinusoidal obstruction). The risk of operative morbidity increases with the number of chemotherapy cycles. Thus, a short period of conversion chemotherapy is recommended, and multidisciplinary discussions of the treatment strategy should be scheduled early, and held every two months. During conversion chemotherapy, information from CT and MRI should be routinely used for restaging. It is crucial to provide information about treatment response (size and morphological criteria), as well as liver function and anatomy, trough the evaluation of steatosis and signs of portal hypertension, as well as the assessment of future liver remnant (4,6,16,30).

IN CONCLUSION,

“Biology is King, selection of cases is Queen, and the technical details of surgical procedures are the Princes and Princesses...”. We need to keep this important principle in mind when trying to define the best treatment of patients with synchronous CRCLM (22).
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